LOCAL ALGEBRAIC APPROXIMATION OF SEMIANALYTIC SETS
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Abstract. Two subanalytic subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ are called $s$-equivalent at a common point $P$ if the Hausdorff distance between their intersections with the sphere centered at $P$ of radius $r$ vanishes of order $> s$ when $r$ tends to 0. In this paper we prove that every $s$-equivalence class of a closed semianalytic set contains a semialgebraic representative of the same dimension. In other words any semianalytic set can be locally approximated of any order $s$ by means of a semialgebraic set and hence, by previous results, also by means of an algebraic one.

1. Introduction

In [FFW1] we introduced a notion of local metric proximity between two sets that we called $s$-equivalence: for a real $s \geq 1$, two subanalytic subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ are $s$-equivalent at a common point $P$ if the Hausdorff distance between their intersections with the sphere centered at $P$ of radius $r$ vanishes of order $> s$ when $r$ tends to 0.

Given a subanalytic set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and a point $P \in A$, a natural question concerns the existence of an algebraic representative $X$ in the class of $s$-equivalence of $A$ at $P$; in that case we also say that $X$ approximates $A$ of order $s$ at $P$.

The answer to the previous question is in general negative for subanalytic sets which are not semianalytic, even for $s = 1$ (see [FFW3]). Furthermore, in [FFW2] we defined $s$-equivalence of two subanalytic sets along a common submanifold, and studied 1-equivalence of a pair of strata to the normal cone of the pair. By example we showed that a semianalytic normal cone to a linear $X$ may be not 1-equivalent to any semialgebraic set along $X$. It is still an open problem whether a semialgebraic normal cone along a linear $X$ is $s$-equivalent to an algebraic variety along $X$, for all $s$.

On the other hand some partial positive answers were given in [FFW1] and [FFW3]; in particular we proved that a subanalytic set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ can be approximated of any order by an algebraic one in each of the following cases:

- $A$ is a closed semialgebraic set of positive codimension,
- $A$ is the zero-set $V(f)$ of a real analytic map $f$ whose regular points are dense in $V(f)$,
- $A$ is the image of a real analytic map $f$ having a finite fiber at $P$.

Using the previous results we also obtained that one-dimensional subanalytic sets, analytic surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and real analytic sets having a Puiseux-type parametrization admit an algebraic approximation of any order.

In the present paper we prove that any closed semianalytic set can be locally approximated of any order by a semialgebraic one having the same dimension. Using the main result of [FFW1], it follows that any closed semianalytic set of positive codimension admits an algebraic approximation of any order. Thus we obtain a complete positive answer to our question for the class of semianalytic sets.
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The algebraic approximation, elaborating the methods introduced in [FFW3], is obtained by taking sufficiently high order truncations of the analytic functions appearing in a presentation of the semianalytic set.

Finally, let us mention some possible future developments of these notions and ideas. Since we can prove that two subanalytic sets $A, B$ are 1-equivalent if and only if their tangent cones coincide (see also [FFW1]), it would be interesting to extend the notion of tangent cone associating to $A$ a sort of “tangent cone of order $s”$, say $C_s(A)$, in such a way that $A$ and $B$ are $s$-equivalent if and only if $C_s(A) = C_s(B)$.

There is currently a lot of interest in bilipschitz equivalence of varieties. Most of the work has been in the complex case. Two recent such examples are [BFGR] and [BFGO].

The theory is closely tied up with the notion of the tangent cone, exceptional subcones, and limits of tangent spaces. The real case has been little studied. A good place to start is in the case of surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$, which is the only real case in which the tangent cone, exceptional lines, and limits of tangent planes have been deeply analyzed (see [OW]). The $s$-equivalence classes are Lipschitz invariants, so they should be a useful tool in this analysis.

2. Basic notions and preliminary results

If $A$ and $B$ are non-empty compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$, we denote by $D(A, B)$ the classical Hausdorff distance, i.e.

$$D(A, B) = \inf \{ \epsilon \mid A \subseteq N_\epsilon(B), \ B \subseteq N_\epsilon(A) \},$$

where $N_\epsilon(A) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid d(x, A) < \epsilon \}$ and $d(x, A) = \inf_{y \in A} \| x - y \|$.

If we let $\delta(A, B) = \sup_{x \in B} d(x, A)$, then $D(A, B) = \max\{ \delta(A, B), \ \delta(B, A) \}$.

We will denote by $O$ the origin of $\mathbb{R}^n$ for any $n$.

We are going to introduce the notion of $s$-equivalence at a point; without loss of generality we can assume that this point is $O$.

**Definition 2.1.** Let $A$ and $B$ be closed subanalytic subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $O \in A \cap B$. Let $s$ be a real number $\geq 1$. Denote by $S_r$ the sphere of radius $r$ centered at the origin.

1. We say that $A \leq_s B$ if either $O$ is isolated in $A$, or if $O$ is non-isolated both in $A$ and in $B$ and

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\delta(B \cap S_r, A \cap S_r)}{r^s} = 0.$$

2. We say that $A$ and $B$ are $s$-equivalent (and we will write $A \sim_s B$) if $A \leq_s B$ and $B \leq_s A$.

Observe that if $O$ is non-isolated both in $A$ and in $B$, then

$$A \sim_s B \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{D(A \cap S_r, B \cap S_r)}{r^s} = 0.$$ 

Moreover, if $A \subseteq B$, then $A \leq_s B$ for any $s \geq 1$. It is easy to check that $\leq_s$ is transitive and that $\sim_s$ is an equivalence relationship. The following result shows that $s$-equivalence has a good behavior with respect to the union of sets:

**Proposition 2.2.** ([FFW3]) Let $A, A', B$ and $B'$ be closed subanalytic subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

1. If $A \leq_s B$ and $A' \leq_s B'$, then $A \cup A' \leq_s B \cup B'$.
2. If $A \sim_s B$ and $A' \sim_s B'$, then $A \cup A' \sim_s B \cup B'$. 
Given a closed subanalytic set \( A \) and \( s \geq 1 \), the problem we are interested in is whether there exists an algebraic subset \( Y \) which is \( s \)-equivalent to \( A \); in this case we also say that \( Y \) approximates \( A \) to order \( s \). Evidently the question is trivially true when \( O \) is an isolated point in \( A \).

Among the partial answers to the previous question that have been already achieved, we recall only the following one which will be used later on:

**Theorem 2.3.** ([FFW1]) For any real number \( s \geq 1 \) and for any closed semialgebraic set \( A \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) of codimension \( \geq 1 \), there exists an algebraic subset \( Y \) of \( \mathbb{R}^n \) such that \( A \sim_s Y \).

The following definition introduces a geometric tool which is very useful to test the \( s \)-equivalence of two subanalytic sets:

**Definition 2.4.** Let \( A \) be a closed subanalytic subset of \( \mathbb{R}^n \), \( O \in A \); for any real \( \sigma > 1 \), we will refer to the set
\[
\mathcal{H}(A, \sigma) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid d(x, A) < \| x \|^{\sigma} \}
\]
as the horn-neighborhood with center \( A \) and exponent \( \sigma \).

Note that, if \( O \) is isolated in \( A \), then \( \mathcal{H}(A, \sigma) = \emptyset \) near \( O \).

**Proposition 2.5.** ([FFW3]) Let \( A, B \) be closed subanalytic subsets of \( \mathbb{R}^n \) with \( O \in A \cap B \) and let \( s \geq 1 \). Then \( A \preceq_s B \) if and only if there exists \( \sigma > s \) such that \( A \setminus \{ O \} \subseteq \mathcal{H}(B, \sigma) \).

The following technical result suggests that horn-neighborhoods can be used to modify a subanalytic set producing subanalytic sets \( s \)-equivalent to the original one:

**Lemma 2.6.** Let \( X \subset Y \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) be closed subanalytic sets such that \( O \in X \) and let \( s \geq 1 \). Then:

1. for any \( \sigma > s \) we have \( Y \sim_s Y \cup \mathcal{H}(X, \sigma) \);
2. if \( Y \setminus X = Y \), there exists \( \sigma > s \) such that \( Y \setminus \mathcal{H}(X, \sigma) \sim_s Y \).

**Proof.** (a) Since \( Y \cup \mathcal{H}(X, \sigma) \subseteq \mathcal{H}(Y, \sigma) \), by Proposition 2.5 for any \( \sigma > s \) we have that \( Y \cup \mathcal{H}(X, \sigma) \subseteq Y \) and hence \( Y \cup \mathcal{H}(X, \sigma) \sim_s Y \).

(b) Let \( U(X, q) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \exists y \in X, \| x \| = \| y \|, \| x - y \| < \| x \|^{\sigma} \} \).

Arguing as in [FFW1] Corollary 2.6], there exists \( q \) such that \( Y \setminus U(X, q) \sim_s Y \). Since \( X \) and \( Y \setminus U(X, q) \) are subanalytic sets and meet only in \( O \), they are regularly situated, i.e. there exists \( \beta \) such that \( d(x, X) + d(x, Y \setminus U(X, q)) > \| x \|^{\beta} \) for all \( x \) near \( O \). Then \( \mathcal{H}(X, \beta) \subseteq U(X, q) \) and hence taking \( \sigma > \max\{ \beta, s \} \) we have that \( Y \setminus \mathcal{H}(X, \sigma) \sim_s Y \). □

Another essential tool will be Lojasiewicz’ inequality, which we will use in the following slightly modified version:

**Proposition 2.7.** Let \( A \) be a compact subanalytic subset of \( \mathbb{R}^n \). Assume \( f \) and \( g \) are subanalytic functions defined on \( A \) such that \( f \) is continuous, \( V(f) \subseteq V(g) \), \( g \) is continuous at the points of \( V(g) \) and such that \( |g| < 1 \) on \( A \). Then there exists a positive constant \( \alpha \) such that \( |g|^\alpha \leq |f| \) on \( A \) and \( |g|^\alpha < |f| \) on \( A \setminus V(f) \).

**Proof.** The result will be obtained by adapting the proof given by Lojasiewicz under the stronger hypothesis that \( g \) is continuous on \( A \) (see [L] Théorème 1); in that paper he used the following lemma ([L] Lemma 4):

If \( E \subset (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \) is a compact semianalytic subset of \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) such that \( E \cap (\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}) \subseteq \{(0, 0)\} \), then there exist positive constants \( c, \alpha \) such that \( E \subseteq \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid |y|^\alpha \leq c |x| \} \).
The map $\Phi = (|f|, g): A \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is subanalytic and bounded; hence $\Phi(A)$ is a subanalytic subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$ and therefore semianalytic (Proposition 2). Then $E = \overline{\Phi(A)}$ is a compact semianalytic subset of $[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$.

We have that $E \cap \{(0) \times \mathbb{R}\} \subseteq \{(0,0)\}$: namely, if $(0,y_0) \in E$, then there exists a sequence $\{a_i\} \subseteq A$ such that $\lim_{i \to \infty} \Phi(a_i) = (0,y_0)$ with $a_i$ converging to $a_0 \in A$. By continuity $f(a_0) = 0$ and hence $g(a_0) = 0$. By the continuity of $g$ at $a_0$, we have that $y_0 = g(a_0) = 0$.

So $E$ fulfills the hypotheses of the lemma recalled above and therefore there exist positive constants $c, \alpha$ such that $|g|^\alpha \leq c|f|$ on $A$.

Since $|g| < 1$, increasing $\alpha$ if necessary we can obtain the thesis. \hfill \Box

3. Main theorems

This section is devoted to the proof of the local approximation theorem for semianalytic sets.

Since $s$-equivalence depends only on the set-germs at $O$, all the sets we will work with will be considered as subsets of a suitable open ball $\Omega$ centered at $O$; we will shrink such a ball whenever necessary without mention.

**Definition 3.1.** Let $A$ be a closed semianalytic subset of $\Omega$. We will say that $A$ admits a good presentation if the minimal analytic variety $V_A$ containing $A$ is irreducible and there exist analytic functions $f_1, \ldots, f_p$ which generate the ideal $I(V_A)$ and $g_1, \ldots, g_l$ analytic functions on $\Omega$ such that

$$ A = \{x \in \Omega \mid f(x) = O, g_i(x) \geq 0, i = 1, \ldots, l\}. $$

We start with a preliminary result concerning a way to decompose and present semianalytic sets:

**Lemma 3.2.** Let $A$ be a closed semianalytic subset of $\Omega$ with $\dim_\Omega A = d > 0$. Then there exist closed semianalytic sets $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_r, \Gamma'$ such that

1. $A = (\bigcup_{i=1}^r \Gamma_i) \cup \Gamma'$
2. for each $i$, $\dim_\Omega \Gamma_i = d$ and $\Gamma_i$ admits a good presentation
3. $\dim \Gamma' < d$.

**Proof.** Let $V_A$ be the minimal analytic variety containing $A$ (in particular $\dim_\Omega V_A = d$). Let $V_1 \cup \ldots \cup V_m$ be the decomposition of $V_A$ into irreducible components. Then $A = W_1 \cup \ldots \cup W_m$ where $W_i = A \cap V_i$. Then $V_i$ is the minimal analytic variety containing $W_i$ and $\dim_\Omega V_i = \dim_\Omega W_i$.

Each $W_i$ is a finite union of sets of the kind $\Gamma = \{h_1 = 0, \ldots, h_q = 0, g_1 \geq 0, \ldots, g_l \geq 0\}$.

Let $\Gamma'$ be the union, letting $i$ vary, of the $\Gamma$’s having dimension less than $d$.

For any $\Gamma \subseteq V_i$ having dimension $d$, $V_i$ is the minimal analytic variety containing $\Gamma$. It follows that $\Gamma = \{f_1 = 0, \ldots, f_p = 0, g_1 \geq 0, \ldots, g_l \geq 0\}$ where $f_1, \ldots, f_p$ are generators of the ideal $I(V_i)$. Thus we can take as $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_r$ these latter $\Gamma$’s (letting $i$ vary) suitably indexed. \hfill \Box

**Notation 3.3.** Let $g_1, \ldots, g_l$ be analytic functions on $\Omega$ and let $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_p): \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^p$ be an analytic map. If $A = \{x \in \Omega \mid f(x) = O, g_i(x) \geq 0, i = 1, \ldots, l\}$, we will use the following notation:

1. $A_i = \{x \in \Omega \mid f(x) = O, g_i(x) \geq 0\}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, l$ (so that $A = \bigcap A_i$)
2. $b(A) = \bigcup_{i=1}^l (V(g_i) \cap A)$.
Lemma 3.4. Consider the closed semianalytic set
\[ A = \{ x \in \Omega \mid f(x) = O, g_i(x) \geq 0, i = 1, \ldots, l \}, \]
where \( f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^p \) is an analytic map and \( g_1, \ldots, g_l \) are analytic functions on \( \Omega \). Assume that \( O \in A \). Let \( \sigma \) be a real positive number and let \( H \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \) be an open subanalytic set such that \( H \supseteq \mathcal{H}(b(A), \sigma) \). Then there exists \( \eta \) such that, for each \( x \in V(f) \setminus (A \cup H) \), there exists \( i \) so that \( x \not\in \mathcal{H}(A_i, \eta) \).

Proof. Since the functions \( \sum_i d(x, A_i) \) and \( d(x, A) \) are subanalytic and vanish exactly on \( A \), by Proposition 2.7 there exists \( \alpha > 0 \) such that, for any \( x \),
\[ \sum_i d(x, A_i) \geq d(x, A)^\alpha. \]

Let \( d_g \) denote the geodesic distance on \( V(f) \).

If \( x \in V(f) \setminus A \), we have \( d_g(x, A) = d_g(x, b(A)) \). In a suitable closed ball centered at \( O \) we can assume that \( V(f) \) is connected; hence, by a result of Kurdyka and Orro (KO) for any \( \epsilon > 0 \) there exists a subanalytic distance \( \Delta(x, y) \) on \( V(f) \) such that
\[ \forall x, y \in V(f) \quad 0 \leq \Delta(x, y) \leq d_g(x, y) \leq (1 + \epsilon)\Delta(x, y). \]

Then, if we take for instance \( \epsilon = 1 \),
\[ \forall x \in V(f) \quad 0 \leq \Delta(x, A) \leq d_g(x, A) \leq 2\Delta(x, A) \]
and so the subanalytic function \( \Delta(x, A) \) is continuous at each point of \( A \). Hence by Proposition 2.7 there exists \( \mu > 0 \) such that, for any \( x \) in \( V(f) \),
\[ d(x, A) \geq \Delta(x, A)^\mu \]
and so
\[ \sum_i d(x, A_i) \geq \Delta(x, A)^\mu \geq \left( \frac{d_g(x, A)}{2} \right)^\mu. \]

Moreover for any \( x \in V(f) \setminus (A \cup H) \) we have that
\[ d_g(x, A) = d_g(x, b(A)) \geq d(x, b(A)) \geq \|x\|^\sigma. \]

Let us show that the thesis holds choosing \( \eta > \sigma \mu \alpha \).

If, for a contradiction, any neighborhood of \( O \) contains a point \( x \in \bigcap_i \mathcal{H}(A_i, \eta) \cap (V(f) \setminus (A \cup H)) \), then we have that
\[ \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^{\sigma \mu \alpha} \leq \sum_{i=1}^l d(x, A_i) \leq l \|x\|^{\eta}. \]

which is impossible when \( x \) tends to \( O \). \( \square \)

For any analytic map \( \psi \) defined in a neighborhood of \( O \), we will denote by \( T^k \psi(x) \) the polynomial map whose components are the Taylor polynomials of order \( k \) at \( O \) of the components of \( \psi \).

Lemma 3.5. Let \( \psi \) an analytic function on \( \Omega \) such that \( \psi(O) = 0 \). Let \( X \) be a closed semianalytic subset of \( \Omega \), \( O \in X \). Then for any real positive \( \theta \) there exists \( \alpha > 0 \) such that, for all integers \( k > \alpha \), the function \( T^k \psi \) has the same sign as \( \psi \) on \( X \setminus (\mathcal{H}(X \cap V(\varphi), \theta) \cup \{O\}) \).
Proof. Denote $Z = X \setminus H(X \cap V(\varphi), \theta)$. Since $V(\varphi) \cap Z = \{O\}$, by Proposition 2.7 there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $\|x\|^\alpha < |\varphi(x)|$ for all $x \in Z \setminus \{O\}$.

For all integers $k > \alpha$

$$\lim_{x \to O} \frac{\varphi(x) - T^k\varphi(x)}{\|x\|^\alpha} = 0.$$  

If $O$ is isolated in $Z$, there is nothing to prove. Otherwise assume, for a contradiction, that any neighborhood of $O$ contains a point $x \in Z$ such that $\varphi(x)$ and $T^k\varphi(x)$ have different signs (for instance $\varphi(x) > 0$ and $T^k\varphi(x) \leq 0$). Then

$$\varphi(x) - T^k\varphi(x) \geq \varphi(x) > \|x\|^\alpha$$

and hence

$$\frac{\varphi(x) - T^k\varphi(x)}{\|x\|^\alpha} > 1$$

arbitrarily near to $O$, which is impossible. \qed

Notation 3.6. Let $g_1, \ldots, g_l$ be analytic functions on $\Omega$ and let $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^p$ be an analytic map. If $A = \{x \in \Omega \mid f(x) = O, g_i(x) \geq 0, i = 1, \ldots, l\}$, for any $h, k \in \mathbb{N}$ let

1. $T^h(A) = \{x \in \Omega \mid T^h f(x) = O, g_i(x) \geq 0, i = 1, \ldots, l\}$
2. $T_k(A) = \{x \in \Omega \mid f(x) = O, T^k g_1(x) \geq 0, \ldots, T^k g_l(x) \geq 0\}$
3. $T^h_k(A) = T^h(T_k(A)) = \{x \in \Omega \mid T^h f(x) = O, T^k g_1(x) \geq 0, \ldots, T^k g_l(x) \geq 0\}$

Moreover, for any analytic map $\varphi : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^p$, denote $\Sigma_r(\varphi) = \{x \in \Omega \mid \text{rk } d_x \varphi < r\}$, and $\Sigma(\varphi) = \Sigma_\infty(\varphi)$.

Lemma 3.7. Let $A$ be a closed semianalytic subset of $\Omega$, with $\dim O A = d > 0$. Assume that $A = \{f(x) = O, g_i(x) \geq 0, i = 1, \ldots, l\}$, with $g_1, \ldots, g_l$ analytic functions on $\Omega$ and $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{n-d}$ an analytic map. Assume also that $\dim O(\Sigma(f) \cap A) < d$ and $\dim O b(A) < d$.

Then for any $s \geq 1$ there exist $h_0 > 0, k_0 > 0$ such that, for all integers $h, k$ with $h \geq h_0$ and $k \geq k_0$, we have

1. $T^h_k(A) \subseteq s A$
2. $A \setminus (\Sigma(f) \cup b(A)) \subseteq d T^h_k(A)$
3. $\dim O T^h_k(A) = d$.

Proof. Let $s \geq 1$ and let $\sigma > s$. Denote $X = (\Sigma(f) \cap A) \cup b(A)$.

1. Let $H = H(X, \sigma)$. By Lemma 3.4 there exists $\eta$ such that, for each $x \in V(f) \setminus (A \cup H)$, there exists $i_0$ so that $x \not\in H(A_{i_0}, \eta)$.

For all $j$, applying Lemma 3.3 to $V(f), g_j$, and $\eta$, we find $\alpha_1 > 0$ such that, for all integers $k > \alpha_1$, the functions $g_j$ and $T^k g_j$ have the same sign on $V(f) \setminus (H(V(f) \cap V(g_j), \eta) \cup \{O\})$.

Let $x \in V(f) \setminus (A \cup H)$. Then $x \not\in H(A_{i_0}, \eta)$ for some $i_0$ and hence $g_{i_0}(x) < 0$; moreover, since $V(f) \cap V(g_{i_0}) \subseteq A_{i_0}$, we have that $x \in V(f) \setminus (H(V(f) \cap V(g_{i_0}), \eta) \cup \{O\})$ and hence, for all integers $k > \alpha_1$, $T^k g_{i_0}(x) < 0$. This implies that $T_k(A) \subseteq A \cup H$.

Applying Lemma 2.7 (1) to the sets $X$ and $A$, we have $A \sim s A \cup H$, and so $T_k(A) \subseteq s A$.

Let $B_k = \{x \in \Omega \mid T^k g_i \geq 0, i = 1, \ldots, l\}$.

Since $T_k(A) = B_k \cap V(f)$, by Proposition 2.7 there exists $\rho > 0$ such that $\|f(x)\| \geq d(x, T_k(A))^\rho$ for all $x \in B_k$; then for $x \in B_k \setminus H(T_k(A), \sigma)$ we have that $\|f(x)\| \geq \|x\|^\rho \sigma$. Let $h$ be an integer such that $h \geq \rho \sigma$. Then

$$\lim_{x \to O} \frac{\|f(x) - T^h f(x)\|}{\|x\|^\rho \sigma} = 0.$$
We have that $T_k(T_k(A)) \setminus \{O\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}(T_k(A), \sigma)$; otherwise there would exist a sequence of points $y_i \neq O$ converging to $O$ such that $y_i \in T_k(T_k(A)) \setminus \mathcal{H}(T_k(A), \sigma)$ and hence

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{\|f(y_i) - T_k f(y_i)\|}{\|y_i\|^{\rho_\sigma}} = \lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{\|f(y_i)\|}{\|y_i\|^{\rho_\sigma}} \geq 1$$

which is a contradiction.

Then by Proposition 2.3, we get that $T_k(A) \leq_s T_k(A) \leq_s A$.

(2) Let $Y = A \setminus X$. By our hypotheses $O$ is not isolated in $Y$.

Since $Y \setminus X = Y$, applying Lemma 2.4 (2) to the sets $X \cap Y$ and $Y$, up to increasing $\sigma$ we have that $Y \setminus \mathcal{H}(X \cap Y, \sigma) \sim_s Y$. Denote

$$Y' = Y \setminus \mathcal{H}(X \cap Y, \sigma) \quad \text{and} \quad H_i = \mathcal{H}(V(g_i) \cap Y, \sigma).$$

If for each $i$ we apply Lemma 3.3 to $Y$, $g_i$ and $\sigma$, we can find $\alpha_2 > 0$ such that, for all integers $k > \alpha_2$, the functions $g_i$ and $T_k g_i$ have the same sign on $Y \setminus (H_i \cup \{O\})$.

Since $V(g_i) \cap Y \subseteq X \cap Y$ for each $i$, then $\bigcup H_i \subseteq \mathcal{H}(X \cap Y, \sigma)$, and therefore $Y' \setminus \{O\} \subseteq \bigcap_i (Y \setminus (H_i \cup \{O\})).$ In particular

$$Y' \setminus \{O\} \subseteq \{T_k g_1 > 0, \ldots, T_k g_l > 0\}.$$ 

From now on, assume that $k > \alpha_2$. We will get the result by replacing $f$ with a suitable truncation of it in the presentation of $T_k(A)$. We will denote by $B(x, r)$ the open ball centered at $x$ of radius $r$.

By the last inclusion, the distance $d(x, b(B_k))$ is subanalytic and positive on $Y' \setminus \{O\}$ so, by Proposition 2.7, there exists $\nu > 0$ (and we can assume $\nu > s$) such that $d(x, b(B_k)) > \|x\|^{\nu}$ for all $x$ in $Y' \setminus \{O\}$. As a consequence

$$B(x, \|x\|^{\nu}) \subseteq \{T_k g_1 > 0, \ldots, T_k g_l > 0\}.$$ 

Following (FW3) consider the real-valued function

$$\Lambda f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \operatorname{rk} d_x f < n - d \cr \inf_{v : \|v\| = 1} \|d_x f(v)\| & \text{if } \operatorname{rk} d_x f = n - d \end{cases}.$$

Observe that $\Lambda f(x)$ is subanalytic, continuous and positive where $f$ is submersive, in particular on $Y' \setminus \{O\}$. Hence, again by Proposition 2.4, there exists $\beta > 0$ such that $\Lambda f(x) > \|x\|^{\beta}$ for all $x$ in $Y' \setminus \{O\}$.

Consider the subanalytic set $W = \{(x, y) \in Y' \times \Omega \mid \Lambda f(y) \geq \|x\|^{\beta}\}$ and let $W_0 = \{(x, y) \in Y' \times \Omega \mid \Lambda f(y) = \|x\|^{\beta}\}$; then the set $\{(x, x) \mid x \in Y' \setminus \{O\}\}$ is contained in the open subanalytic set $W \setminus W_0$.

The function $\varphi : Y' \setminus \{O\} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\varphi(x) = d((x, x), W_0)$ is subanalytic and positive. Then again by Proposition 2.7, there exists $\tau > 0$ (and we can assume $\tau > \nu$) such that $\varphi(x) > \|x\|^\tau$ on $Y' \setminus \{O\}$. Then for all $x \in Y' \setminus \{O\}$ and for all $y \in B(x, \|x\|^\tau)$ we have

$$\|(x, y) - (x, x)\| = \|y - x\| < \|x\|^\tau < \varphi(x).$$

Hence $(x, y) \in W \setminus W_0$, i.e. for all $x$ in $Y' \setminus \{O\}$ and for all $y \in B(x, \|x\|^\tau)$ we have $\Lambda f(y) > \|x\|^{\beta}$. In particular $\Lambda f(y) > 0$ and hence $d_y f$ is surjective for all $y \in B(x, \|x\|^\tau)$.

Let $h$ be an integer such that $h > \beta + 1$ and let $\tilde{f}(x) = T_h f(x)$.

Then $T^{h-1} d_y f = T_{h-1} \tilde{f}$; thus we have that $\|d_y f - d_{\tilde{f}} \tilde{f}\| \leq \|y\|^{h-1}$ for all $y$ near to $O$, where we consider $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^{n-d})$ endowed with the standard norm

$$\|L\| = \max_{u \neq 0} \frac{\|L(u)\|}{\|u\|}.$$
Thus by [FW3] Proposition 3.3 we have
\[ |A f(y) - \tilde{A} f(y)| \leq \|y\|^{b-1}. \]

**Claim:** for \( x \in Y' \setminus \{O\} \) and for \( y \in B(x, \|x\|^\tau) \), we have
\[ \tilde{A} f(y) \geq \|x\|^\beta + 1. \]

To see this, assume for a contradiction that there exist a sequence \( x_i \in Y' \setminus \{O\} \) converging to \( O \) and a sequence \( y_i \in B(x, \|x\|^\tau) \) such that \( \tilde{A} f(y_i) < \|x_i\|^\beta + 1 \). Thus we have
\[ \frac{A f(y_i) - \tilde{A} f(y_i)}{\|x_i\|^\beta} \geq 1 - \|x_i\|. \]

On the other hand
\[ \frac{A f(y_i) - \tilde{A} f(y_i)}{\|x_i\|^\beta} \leq \frac{\|y_i\|^{b-1}}{\|x_i\|^\beta} \leq \left( \frac{\|y_i - x_i\| + \|x_i\|^{h-1}}{\|x_i\|^\beta} \right) = \left( \frac{\|y_i - x_i\|}{\|x_i\|^q} + \|x_i\|^{1-q} \right)^{\beta-1} \leq \left( \|x_i\|^{\tau-q} + \|x_i\|^{1-q} \right)^{\beta-1}. \]

where \( q = \frac{\beta}{\beta+1} \). Since \( \tau > 1 \) and \( q < 1 \), we have that
\[ \frac{A f(y_i) - \tilde{A} f(y_i)}{\|x_i\|^\beta} \]
converges to 0, which is a contradiction. So the Claim is proved.

Then for all \( x \in Y' \setminus \{O\} \) the map \( \tilde{f} \) is a submersion on \( B(x, \|x\|^\tau) \). Hence, using [FW3] Lemma 3.5, we get \( \tilde{f}(B(x, \|x\|^\tau)) \supset B(\tilde{f}(x), \|x\|^\lambda) \) with \( \lambda = \beta + 1 + \tau \).

Observe that if \( x \in Y' \setminus \{O\} \), we have that
\[ \lim_{x \to O} \frac{\|\tilde{f}(x)\|}{\|x\|^h} = \lim_{x \to O} \frac{\|\tilde{f}(x) - f(x)\|}{\|x\|^h} = 0. \]

So, for any \( h \geq \lambda \) and \( x \in Y' \), the point \( O \) belongs to \( B(\tilde{f}(x), \|x\|^\lambda) \) and hence there exists \( y \in B(x, \|x\|^\tau) \) such that \( \tilde{f}(y) = O \).

Since \( \tau > \nu > s \), then \( y \in B(x, \|x\|^\nu) \) so that \( T^k g_i(y) > 0 \) for all \( i \), i.e. \( y \in T^k_i(A) \); hence \( Y' \setminus \{O\} \subseteq H(T^k_i(A), \lambda) \). Then by Proposition 2.5 we have \( Y' \subseteq T^k_i(A) \) and hence, since \( Y' \sim_s Y \), we have that
\[ A \setminus (\Sigma f) = Y' \leq T^k_i(A). \]

Therefore, taking \( h_0 = \max\{\rho \sigma, \lambda\} \) and \( k_0 = \max\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} \), we have the thesis.

(3) The previous argument shows that, for all \( h \geq h_0 \) and \( k \geq k_0 \), there exist points \( y \in V(T^h f) \) arbitrarily near to \( O \) where \( T^h f \) is submersive and such that \( T^k g_i(y) > 0 \) for all \( i \). Hence \( \dim T^h_i(A) = d \).

**Theorem 3.8.** Let \( A \) be a closed semianalytic subset of \( \Omega \) with \( O \in A \). Then for any \( s \geq 1 \) there exists a closed semialgebraic set \( S \subseteq \Omega \) such that \( A \sim_s S \) and \( \dim S = \dim A \).

**Proof.** We will prove the thesis by induction on \( d = \dim O A \).

If \( d = 0 \) the result holds trivially. So let \( d \geq 1 \) and assume that the result holds for all semianalytic germs of dimension less that \( d \).

By Lemma 3.2, by Proposition 2.2 and by the inductive hypothesis, we can assume that
\[ A = \{ x \in \Omega \mid f(x) = O, g_i(x) \geq 0, i = 1, \ldots, l \} \]
with \( f = (f_1, \ldots, f_p) \) such that \( V(f) \) is irreducible, \( V(f) \) is the minimal analytic variety containing \( A \) and \( f_1, \ldots, f_p \) generate the ideal \( I(V(f)) \). In particular \( \dim\mathcal{O}(\Sigma_{n-d}(f) \cap A) < d \); moreover, removing from the previous presentation of \( A \) the inequalities \( g_i(x) \geq 0 \) where \( g_i \) vanishes identically on \( A \) (if any), we can assume that \( \dim\mathcal{O}(A) < d \).

If \( p = n - d \), the thesis follows easily by using Lemma 3.7. In general \( p \) can be bigger than \( n - d \); in this case we introduce a semianalytic set \( A \) of dimension \( d \) which is \( s \)-equivalent to \( A \) and which satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 3.7. In order to prove the thesis it will be sufficient to approximate \( A \) by means of a semialgebraic set having the same dimension.

Denote by \( \Pi \) the set of surjective linear maps from \( \mathbb{R}^p \) to \( \mathbb{R}^{n-d} \) and consider the smooth map \( \Phi: (\mathbb{R}^n - V(f)) \times \Pi \to \mathbb{R}^{n-d} \) defined by \( \Phi(x, \pi) = (\pi \circ f)(x) \) for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n - V(f) \) and \( \pi \in \Pi \).

The map \( \Phi \) is transverse to \( \{O\} \): namely the partial Jacobian matrix of \( \Phi \) with respect to the variables in \( \Pi \) (considered as an open subset of \( \mathbb{R}^{p(n-d)} \)) is the \((n-d) \times p(n-d)\) matrix

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    f(x) & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
    0 & f(x) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \cdots & \vdots \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & f(x)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

thus, for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n - V(f) \) and for all \( \pi \in \Pi \) the Jacobian matrix of \( \Phi \) has rank \( n-d \).

As a consequence, by a well-known result of singularity theory (see for instance [BK, Lemma 3.2]), we have that the map \( \Phi_\pi: \mathbb{R}^n - V(f) \to \mathbb{R}^{n-d} \) defined by \( \Phi_\pi(x) = \Phi(x, \pi) = (\pi \circ f)(x) \) is transverse to \( \{O\} \) for all \( \pi \) outside a set \( \Gamma \subset \Pi \) of measure zero and hence \( \pi \circ f \) is a submersion on \( V(\pi \circ f) \setminus V(f) \) for all such \( \pi \).

Furthermore, let \( x \in V(f) \) be a point at which \( f \) has rank \( n-d \); then there is an open dense set \( U \subset \Pi \) such that for all \( \pi \in U \) the map \( \pi \circ f \) is a submersion at \( x \), and hence off some subvariety of \( V(f) \) of dimension less than \( d \).

Thus, if we choose \( \pi_0 \in (\Pi \setminus \Gamma) \cap U \), the map \( F = \pi_0 \circ f \) has \( n-d \) components, \( \Sigma(F) \cap V(F) \subseteq V(f) \subseteq V(F), \) \( \dim\mathcal{O}(V(F)) = d \) and \( \dim\mathcal{O}(\Sigma(F) \cap V(F)) < d \). In particular \( V(F) \) is an irreducible component of \( V(f) \).

For each \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) denote \( \widetilde{A}_m = \{F = 0, \|x\|^{2m} - \|f\|^2 \geq 0, g_i(x) \geq 0, i = 1, \ldots, l\} \).

Since \( A \subseteq \widetilde{A}_m \subseteq V(F) \), we have that \( A \subseteq_s \widetilde{A}_m \) and \( \dim\mathcal{O}(\widetilde{A}_m) = d \).

We claim that there exists \( m \) such that \( \widetilde{A}_m \sim_s A \); to show that it is sufficient to prove that there exists \( m \) such that \( \widetilde{A}_m \subseteq_s A \). Namely, let \( B = \{g_i(x) \geq 0, i = 1, \ldots, l\} \). Since \( V(\|f\|) \cap B = V(d(x, A)) \cap B \), by Proposition 2.7 there exists \( q \) such that \( d(x, A)^q \leq \|f(x)\| \) for all \( x \in B \). Let \( m > sq \). Then \( d(x, A) \leq \|f(x)\|^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \|x\|^{\frac{m}{q}} \) for all \( x \in \widetilde{A}_m \), i.e. \( \widetilde{A}_m \subseteq \mathcal{H}(A, \frac{m}{q}) \) and hence \( \widetilde{A}_m \subseteq_s A \).

Fix \( m \) as above and let \( \tilde{A} = \widetilde{A}_m \). Let also \( \widetilde{X} = (\Sigma(F) \cap \widetilde{A}) \cup b(\tilde{A}) \).

Observe that \( b(\tilde{A}) \cap A = b(A) \) and so \( \widetilde{X} \cap A = (\Sigma(F) \cap A) \cup b(A) \).

Denote \( K = \widetilde{X} \cap (A \setminus \tilde{A}) \) so that \( \tilde{X} = (\widetilde{X} \cap A) \cup \tilde{K} \).

By Lemma 3.7 there exist positive integers \( h, k \) such that

\[
\tilde{A} \setminus \widetilde{X} \leq_s T^h_k(\tilde{A}) \leq_s \tilde{A} \quad \text{and} \quad \dim\mathcal{O}(T^h_k(\tilde{A})) = d.
\]

Since \( \dim\mathcal{O}(\tilde{X} \cap A) < d \), by induction there exists a semialgebraic set \( S_0 \) such that \( S_0 \sim_s \tilde{X} \cap A \) and \( \dim\mathcal{O}(S_0) < d \). Moreover, since \( A \subseteq \tilde{A} \setminus K \subseteq \tilde{A} \), we have that \( \tilde{A} \setminus K \sim_s \tilde{A} \).
Then
\[ \tilde{A} \simeq_s \overline{A \setminus K} = \overline{A \setminus X \cup (\tilde{X} \cap A)} \leq_s T^b_k(\tilde{A}) \cup S_0 \leq_s \tilde{A} \cup (\tilde{X} \cap A) = \tilde{A} \]
so we can choose \( S = T^b_k(\tilde{A}) \cup S_0. \)

From Theorem 3.8 and from Theorem 2.3 we immediately obtain:

**Theorem 3.9.** Let \( A \) be a closed semianalytic subset of \( \Omega \) of codimension \( \geq 1 \) with \( O \in A \). Then for any \( s \geq 1 \) there exists an algebraic set \( Y \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) such that \( A \simeq_s Y \).
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